



ORSA Journal on Computing

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://pubsonline.informs.org>

Expediting Processing: What Can The Author Do?

To cite this article:

(1990) Expediting Processing: What Can The Author Do?. ORSA Journal on Computing 2(1):3-3. <https://doi.org/10.1287/ijoc.2.1.3>

Full terms and conditions of use: <https://pubsonline.informs.org/Publications/Librarians-Portal/PubsOnLine-Terms-and-Conditions>

This article may be used only for the purposes of research, teaching, and/or private study. Commercial use or systematic downloading (by robots or other automatic processes) is prohibited without explicit Publisher approval, unless otherwise noted. For more information, contact permissions@informs.org.

The Publisher does not warrant or guarantee the article's accuracy, completeness, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications, or inclusion of an advertisement in this article, neither constitutes nor implies a guarantee, endorsement, or support of claims made of that product, publication, or service.

© 1990 INFORMS

Please scroll down for article—it is on subsequent pages



With 12,500 members from nearly 90 countries, INFORMS is the largest international association of operations research (O.R.) and analytics professionals and students. INFORMS provides unique networking and learning opportunities for individual professionals, and organizations of all types and sizes, to better understand and use O.R. and analytics tools and methods to transform strategic visions and achieve better outcomes. For more information on INFORMS, its publications, membership, or meetings visit <http://www.informs.org>

Expediting Processing: What Can The Author Do?

The *ORSA Journal on Computing* is determined to minimize processing time (subject to quality control constraints). Each author can help expedite the process in several ways.

Bottlenecks may occur in either of two stages: (1) initial referee selection by the Associate Editor; and, (2) the reviews by the referees. For (1) an author can help by providing an ASCII file, suitable for electronic mailing, that contains an *Executive Summary*. This is more than an abstract, designed to describe the essence of the result(s) and topical relevance. It must be free of special characters and generally will not be part of the final publication. Its purpose is to help the Associate Editor select appropriate referees and to send them this *Executive Summary* electronically to expedite their response to whether they will referee it. Although there is no guarantee this will be faster than snailmail, it is likely. Most bottlenecks in the initial referee selection arise because the Associate Editor sends the complete paper to someone who returns it. The roundtrip takes at least 2 weeks and typically longer. Thus, the process of initial referee selection could take months.

In (2) the most important effect on processing time is the quality of writing. Besides the content and elements of style described in the *Information for Contributors*, the very form of the paper affects processing time. The best is to submit laser copy, and handwritten copy is worst. If a dot matrix printer is used, make sure the fonts are clear (check ribbon, etc.). A reality is that the volunteer services of referees and editors are more responsive to clear papers, where *clear* is in every sense of the word.

It cannot be overemphasized that carefully reading the *Instructions for Contributors*, and the advice therein, will have significant impact on the processing time.