



Operations Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://pubsonline.informs.org>

The Analyst's Bookshelf

To cite this article:

(1965) The Analyst's Bookshelf. Operations Research 13(1):159-164. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.13.1.159>

Full terms and conditions of use: <https://pubsonline.informs.org/Publications/Librarians-Portal/PubsOnLine-Terms-and-Conditions>

This article may be used only for the purposes of research, teaching, and/or private study. Commercial use or systematic downloading (by robots or other automatic processes) is prohibited without explicit Publisher approval, unless otherwise noted. For more information, contact permissions@informs.org.

The Publisher does not warrant or guarantee the article's accuracy, completeness, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications, or inclusion of an advertisement in this article, neither constitutes nor implies a guarantee, endorsement, or support of claims made of that product, publication, or service.

© 1965 INFORMS

Please scroll down for article—it is on subsequent pages



With 12,500 members from nearly 90 countries, INFORMS is the largest international association of operations research (O.R.) and analytics professionals and students. INFORMS provides unique networking and learning opportunities for individual professionals, and organizations of all types and sizes, to better understand and use O.R. and analytics tools and methods to transform strategic visions and achieve better outcomes. For more information on INFORMS, its publications, membership, or meetings visit <http://www.informs.org>

The Analyst's Bookshelf

Hugh J. Miser, Editor

Reviews

DAVID BRAYBROOKE and CHARLES E. LINDBLOM, *A Strategy of Decision: Policy Evaluation as a Social Process*, The Free Press of Glencoe (a Division of the Macmillan Co.), New York, N. Y., 1963, 280 pages, \$5.95

IN operations research we all recognize that in addition to developing strategies for our clients, we have the internal problem of developing strategies for operations-research teams. Thus we have to be very careful about the questions we ask, the problems we work on, and the way in which we attempt to implement the results. No one that I know of has tried to formalize the OR 'strategy space' or to suggest calculable methods of optimizing in this space, but there is a respectable literature on the subject.

Perhaps the most common strategy we adopt consists of partitioning our activities into two parts. On the one hand, the research team feels the responsibility of attaining as comprehensive an understanding of the client's world as is possible. In order to accomplish this first aim, it tries to construct a model that will approximately satisfy the criteria of scientific rigor and accuracy. On the other hand, the research team tries to establish a satisfactory social relation with the client, in order to maximize its opportunities of obtaining information and eventually of exerting influence.

This partitioning itself may not be a wise way to view the matter, and apparently BRAYBROOKE AND LINDBLOM think not. They start with another kind of partitioning of the world of the researcher into four parts, representing the intersection of "high vs. low understanding" and "incremental vs. large change." They then try to show that the so-called responsibility of attaining a comprehensive understanding of the client's problem is appropriate at best in the "quadrant" of high understanding and low change. Perhaps they feel that this is where most of OR belongs, though they never mention OR as such. When one wants to accomplish a small but significant change in the area of "low understanding," i.e., high degree of complexity, he must give up the comprehensive objective and resort instead to "disjointed incrementalism." This is the strategy of restricting oneself to policies that differ only incrementally from the status quo. In other words, every 'status quo' has a close neighborhood of alternative states, and the analyst should restrict himself to this neighborhood, never getting too far from home. One is reminded of current 'search procedures' in OR mathematics, though the authors might feel very uncomfortable with the analogy. They would feel uncomfortable largely because they never view their strategy of change in the context of

a strategy space, and hence can at best resort to constructing convenient strawmen whenever the issues become difficult.

The rigorous proponent of the comprehensive viewpoint will have no difficulty at all in questioning the authors' advice to use disjointed incrementalism. It's the same as the old confusion about SIMON's 'satisficing'. To use Simon's over-quoted example of finding the best needle in the haystack, it is surely common sense to stop with a satisficing, and even a satisfactory needle, rather than try to examine them all. But this piece of common sense is based on a comprehensive view of the haystack, the cost of search, and the types of needles (if one needle is an ancient possession of Cleo's, I might never be satisfied until I found it). So too, the authors' close neighborhood concept only makes sense in terms of a comprehensive account of the strategy space and the cost of search. If all they tell us is that we must limit our effort in terms of our resources to conduct research, they tell us—I hope—nothing we did not know before.

However, these more formal criticisms are trivial compared to a much deeper concern that this book generates. It is a very serious matter to change anything, almost as serious as not changing it. Furthermore, all change is adopted in the darkness of extreme uncertainty, especially because we must assume certain characteristics of the whole system that embeds the part we want to change. We are always a long distance from our comprehensive ideal, no matter what we claim. All this amounts to saying is that we would like if possible to change again if our first change is not successful. Suppose we say that a change is teleologically irreversible if, once it is made and is unsatisfactory, we cannot erase its evil effect. Obviously, there are degrees of irreversibility, but the point is that we ought to adopt the strategy of avoiding irreversible changes. Will the strategy of incremental change do this most successfully? At first sight, yes, because if we only move a 'small' distance, we can 'easily' move back. But the more astute will see that this is not necessarily so, especially in the political world. The reaction to an unsatisfactory change may be such as to consolidate the conservatives or arouse the radicals. Furthermore, some easily reversible changes can easily be erased by the opponents, long before they have been tested and institutionalized. The authors are afraid of comprehensive and calculating people like TINBERGEN, ARROW, and BENTHAM. They fear that the proposed calculi of these men would irreversibly ruin the race, if they could feasibly be adopted. They could also have cited less quantitative but more comprehensive writers like SPENGLER, TOYNBEE, or E. A. SINGER. But it is not obvious why disjointed incrementalism is any blessing, either; while we are carefully moving to neighborhood status, the entire business may collapse. Someone, somehow, has to say something about what the whole problem is like, and how badly off the target are our present aims. Perhaps the healthiest way in which humans can live in large, complex, little understood systems is to carry on an endless debate between the realism that defends small changes while being unconscious of general aims, vs. the idealism that defends its *Wellanschauung* while being unconscious of immediate states.

I can understand the authors' fear of the formal model builders as well as those who will not move until all the data are in hand. But I cannot appreciate their wish to keep assumptions about the whole system unconscious, because whether one

likes it or not, any recommendation to change or not to change entails a commitment about the whole system. How can we possibly justify the position that these whole-system commitments should be suppressed?

C. WEST CHURCHMAN
University of California
Berkeley

THOMAS L. SAATY and JOSEPH BRAM, *Nonlinear Mathematics*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc., New York, N. Y., 1964, 397 pages, \$12.50

THIS IS a different kind of book. Ordinarily a mathematics book may be described as 'vertical' when it treats a rather specialized mathematical subfield, such as topological groups, in depth, or 'horizontal' when it is a survey of a whole field of mathematically related topics, such as an introductory text on statistics and probability. In the former case the book is definitely for the specialist; in the latter it is for the novice. *Nonlinear Mathematics* seems to be a 'horizontal' book, but at an advanced level, cutting across all types of nonlinear phenomena and seeking to relate and expose a common fabric. It would like to provide a unifying perspective for nonlinear problems such as has been provided for linear phenomena by the theory of linear operators on Banach spaces.

The first of six chapters, "Linear and Nonlinear Transformations," carries the reader quickly through the definitions of vector space, linear transformation, inner product space, Hilbert space (finite and infinite dimensional), Banach space, and linear functional, and proves some fixed-point theorems. Diverse problems of linear mathematics are seen to resolve into questions of uniqueness or existence for particular linear operators on linear spaces. For example, the basic theorem on existence of a complete orthonormal set of eigenvectors for a self-adjoint, compact operator A on a Hilbert space is used to show the existence of eigenvalues and eigenfunctions for the classical Sturm-Liouville system.

The basic purpose of the book, to find a unifying view for nonlinear problems, is partially realized in connection with the contraction mappings $A(x)$ on Banach spaces. These (not necessarily linear) mappings send open subsets into themselves and satisfy a Lipschitz condition. Such mappings are shown to have a fixed-point property which is the basis for the iterative procedure $x_{n+1} = A(x_n)$ for solving the equation $A(x) = x$, an equation noted in the preface to be at the heart of many nonlinear problems.

The pace of Chapter I forces the reviewer to conclude that the chapter will only be appreciated by the experienced mathematician or graduate student already familiar with the basic concepts. It relates these concepts delightfully, but does not contain enough bread-and-butter examples to teach the beginner *both* the concepts and the 'horizontal' tissue of the relations. The closing section, which reviews all of Lebesgue integration in less than four pages, exemplifies this fast pace.

Chapter II deals with nonlinear algebraic and transcendental equations. The Newton-Raphson technique is explained, and the Ostrowski-Kantorovich theorem on existence and convergence of solutions is proved in a form that implies the result for abstract finite dimensional spaces. Even so standard a work on numerical

methods as Hildebrand's *Introduction to Numerical Analysis* (McGraw-Hill, 1956) presents only error bounds, but no complete statement of Ostrowski's result, and thus this chapter is relatively valuable.

A very lucid presentation of the gradient method (steepest descent) is provided, again with existence and convergence proofs and the observation that the results can be carried over to infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. Remarks of this nature tend to stress the thread of fundamental relation sought.

The extension of the gradient method to the determination of differential equations of curves whose tangents are everywhere parallel to the path of steepest descent and which lead to a maximum or minimum of the given surface (a result of Rosenbloom, 1956) is also given. Finally, the saddle-point method is treated, but in less detail. This chapter is very valuable and gives general insight, although there is unevenness in the presentation. Concepts such as 'gradient' are defined, while 'meromorphic function' is assumed to be understood by the reader.

Nonlinear optimization and programming, the subject of Chapter III, includes discussions of linear programming, nonlinear programming, maxima, minima, and convex functions, and treats optimization problems having infinitely many constraints. This chapter, together with the corresponding Part II of Saaty's *Mathematical Methods of Operations Research* (McGraw-Hill, 1959), forms one of the best surveys of optimization procedures available. Many of the latest results are noted in a concise, abstract, theorem form. It is too bad that the authors did not take the time to devise fresh examples and text for the linear programming discussion and the presentation of the saddle-point method. Pages 107-118 are largely verbatim from the earlier book, including some of the same numerical examples.

The fourth chapter on nonlinear differential equations contains the time-honored material: the pendulum problem, van der Pol's self-exciting oscillations, the classical existence theorem, the matrix approach to linear equations, the perturbation method, the phase-plane analysis for classifying singular points, the Poincaré-Bendixson theory of limit cycles, and Lyapunov's second stability method. Again the pace is too fast for the beginner, the problems generally being extensions of the theory. Along with the discussion of linear spring-mass systems with drivers, the recent work of Rosenberg (see *Journal of Applied Mechanics*, 1960 and following) on nonlinear normal modes of n -degree spring-mass systems would have been illuminating. The material on Lyapunov stability is a direct summary of the referenced translation of Malkin, and perhaps effort should have been expended on more and newer examples. Minorsky's "stroboscopic method" as presented is probably too condensed to be meaningful.

Chapter V on "Automatic Control and the Pontryagin Principle" is a well-motivated presentation of modern control theory. As with all of the other chapters, this one also contains an extensive bibliography that includes most of the recent pertinent research. Although the Berkovitz unified variational approach to the optimal control principle is presented and the optimal bang-bang solution of LaSalle for the linear control problem is derived, the only approach given for the nonlinear case is the use of a Newton-Raphson procedure on a Banach space. The interesting solution of Hermes and Haynes (*Journal on Control*, Ser. A, I, No. 2,

1963) for the nonlinear control problem with the control vector appearing linearly is not mentioned (presumably it appeared too late).

The final chapter on "Linear and Nonlinear Prediction Theory" spends most of its time on linear stationary processes. Most of the material covered is found in the standard texts of Davenport and Root, and Laning and Battin, on prediction theory. Again the impression of the reviewer is that the sometimes fresh views of the chapter cannot be appreciated by the novice. Concepts such as expected value, joint distribution, covariance, etc., are not defined. Also, the 'common fabric' is thinnest here.

All in all, the reviewer found that the original objective of unifying nonlinear problems is not really attained. This is not the authors' fault, but is inherent in the difficulty of understanding nonlinear phenomena and the dearth of truly general results so far achieved. Also, some nonlinear topics (nonlinear partial differential equations, nonlinear integral equations, etc.) are postponed to a later volume, making the effort as it stands incomplete. However, the authors have performed a service to the mathematical community by emphasizing the desirability of having such a unifying theory, even though it is not fully realizable now. The mechanics of the book are quite good, typographical errors being present, but few. Mathematically inclined members of ORSA will broaden and update their understanding of modern basic quantitative tools by reading this book.

GEORGE W. MORGENTHAUER
Martin-Denver

SAMUEL KOTZ, with the collaboration of WASSILY HOEFFDING, *Russian-English Dictionary of Statistical Terms and Expressions and Russian Reader in Statistics*, The University of North Carolina Press, Chapel Hill, 1964, 133 pages, \$7.50

THE COMPILER of this dictionary has taken the point of view that the reader of Russian technical literature will need two dictionaries, a general one, and a specialized one devoted to the special area of his interest, and has undertaken to provide a dictionary of the latter type for the area of statistics.

It contains some 5000 words and phrases compiled from selected Russian statistical articles and books and Russian translations of works of Western statisticians (a partial list of the sources is given in the bibliography). The coverage of statistical terminology appears to be rather complete, and terms from game theory, queuing theory, information theory, quality control, etc., are also included. A feature unusual in technical dictionaries is the provision of the stress of each Russian word, presumably for those statisticians who wish to understand or use the spoken word. Another unusual and very useful feature is a Russian-English author index, enabling a reader accurately to transliterate the names of cited authors.

The dictionary follows the consistent practice of listing compound phrases immediately after the main term. This should be very helpful in many cases where the English equivalent phrase in common use is not readily evident from a literal translation of the component words in the phrase. However, it strikes the reviewer that this has been somewhat overdone by including phrases whose literal translation gives the customary English usage; for example, under *sampling*, the

terms *sampling unit*, *sampling inspection*, *sample function*, *sampling observation*, *sample distribution*, and *sampling plan* are all accorded unnecessary separate sub-entries. This inflation, which seems unnecessary in the light of the two-dictionary philosophy, occurs with some frequency, and has, of course, contributed somewhat to the size of the dictionary. (It is incidentally somewhat unexpected to find Callahan's dictionary, one of the most renowned technical dictionaries, unmentioned in the *Partial List of Dictionaries* at the end of the book.)

The typography is clear and readable, although, to the reviewer's taste, a little too compressed in adjacency to certain of the more easily confusable Cyrillic letters. The *Russian Reader in Statistics* (pp. 93-108) should be helpful to the beginning reader of Russian.

Despite the foregoing cavils, which are in fact of relatively minor import, this volume should fill, in creditable and acceptable fashion, a need of the research statistician.

R. F. RINEHART
Case Institute of Technology

Books Received

JEAN ACHER, *Algèbre linéaire et programmation linéaire*, Dunod, Paris, France, 1964, 286 pages, 36 francs (paper).

EDWIN F. BECKENBACH (editor), *Applied Combinatorial Mathematics*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, N. Y., 1964, 630 pages, \$13.50. Eighteen chapters by as many authors.

W. W. COOPER, H. J. LEAVITT, AND M. W. SHELLY II (editors), *New Perspectives in Organization Research*, John Wiley & Sons, New York, N. Y., 1964, 630 pages, \$12.50.

JOHN DIEBOLD, *Beyond Automation: Managerial Problems of an Exploding Technology*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, N. Y., 1964, 230 pages, \$7.50.

ROBERT DORFMAN, *The Price System*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964, 160 pages, \$4.50. From the Foundations of Modern Economics Series, edited by Otto Eckstein.

JAMES S. DUESENBERY, *Money and Credit: Impact and Control*, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J., 1964, 127 pages, \$3.95. From the Foundations in Modern Economics Series, edited by Otto Eckstein.

ANGELA DUNN, *Mathematical Bafflers*, McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, N. Y., 1964, 231 pages, \$6.50. Over 150 mathematical puzzles originally published in advertisements of Litton Industries.

HANS EIDEN, LEO KLUITMANN, GERD KNAPPMANN, WALTER KRÄHE, ERICH POTTHOFF, HANS WOLFGANG RUBIN, GEORG STROBEL, AND HERMANN WEBEL, *Finanzorganization: Finanzielle Unternehmensführung*, Westdeutscher Verlag, Köln, W. Germany, 1964, 116 pages, no price.

T. J. FARARO AND MORRIS H. SUNSHINE, *A Study of a Biased Friendship Net*, Youth Development Center, Syracuse University, 926 South Crouse Ave., Syracuse, N. Y., 13210, 1964, 134 pages, \$2.00 (paper).

PETER C. FISHBURN, *Decision and Value Theory*, John Wiley & Sons, New York,