



Operations Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://pubsonline.informs.org>

Errata

To cite this article:

(1969) Errata. Operations Research 17(2):371-371. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.17.2.371>

Full terms and conditions of use: <https://pubsonline.informs.org/Publications/Librarians-Portal/PubsOnLine-Terms-and-Conditions>

This article may be used only for the purposes of research, teaching, and/or private study. Commercial use or systematic downloading (by robots or other automatic processes) is prohibited without explicit Publisher approval, unless otherwise noted. For more information, contact permissions@informs.org.

The Publisher does not warrant or guarantee the article's accuracy, completeness, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications, or inclusion of an advertisement in this article, neither constitutes nor implies a guarantee, endorsement, or support of claims made of that product, publication, or service.

© 1969 INFORMS

Please scroll down for article—it is on subsequent pages



With 12,500 members from nearly 90 countries, INFORMS is the largest international association of operations research (O.R.) and analytics professionals and students. INFORMS provides unique networking and learning opportunities for individual professionals, and organizations of all types and sizes, to better understand and use O.R. and analytics tools and methods to transform strategic visions and achieve better outcomes. For more information on INFORMS, its publications, membership, or meetings visit <http://www.informs.org>

ERRATA

Joseph J. Eiss

RCA, New York, N.Y.

(Received November 6, 1968)

THE following corrections should be made in the paper "The Reliability of Dependent Parallel or Stand-by N-unit Redundancies," *Opns. Res.* **16**, 1068-1083 (1968):

Page 1070, line 10: The inner integral should have upper limit t instead of T .

Page 1073, line 11: At the end of this line, for ij read $i \neq j$.

Page 1075, line 10: For $f(\cdot, a)$, read $f(\cdot, 0)$.

Page 1076, line 3 from below: For X_0 in the integrand, read x_0 , so that the integral becomes $\int_A f(x_0, \dots, w_{J-2}) dA$.

Page 1078, line 2: The symbol $\wedge$ should be $\bigwedge$.

Page 1078, line 5: The symbol $\wedge$ should be $\bigwedge$.

Page 1078, line 16 from below: The symbol $*$ should read $\bar{*}$.

Page 1081, line 17: This line should read as follows:

$$1 - e^{-\lambda_1 T} - \int_0^T e^{-\lambda_1 \mu} \cdot [\lambda_1 e^{-[\lambda_1 + k(\mu)/2] (T-\mu)}] d\mu. \quad (27)$$

ERRATUM

William P. Pierskalla

Southern Methodist University, Dallas, Texas

(Received December 13, 1968)

THE following correction should be made in my Letter to the Editor on "The Multidimensional Assignment Problem," *Opns. Res.* **16**, 422-431 (1968): On page 427, line 22, the second sentence of Step 10 should read:

"Go to Step 3 if

$$j_i = \min_{j \in J_{i-1}} \{j\} \quad \text{and} \quad k_i = \min_{k \in K_{i-1}} \{k\};$$

otherwise, go to Step 7."

Copyright 1969, by INFORMS, all rights reserved. Copyright of Operations Research is the property of INFORMS: Institute for Operations Research and its content may not be copied or emailed to multiple sites or posted to a listserv without the copyright holder's express written permission. However, users may print, download, or email articles for individual use.