



Operations Research

Publication details, including instructions for authors and subscription information:
<http://pubsonline.informs.org>

Erratum—Exact Algorithms for the Clustered Vehicle Routing Problem

Maaïke Hoogeboom, Maria Battarra, Güneş Erdoğan, Daniele Vigo

To cite this article:

Maaïke Hoogeboom, Maria Battarra, Güneş Erdoğan, Daniele Vigo (2016) Erratum—Exact Algorithms for the Clustered Vehicle Routing Problem. *Operations Research* 64(2):456–457. <https://doi.org/10.1287/opre.2016.1491>

Full terms and conditions of use: <https://pubsonline.informs.org/Publications/Librarians-Portal/PubsOnLine-Terms-and-Conditions>

This article may be used only for the purposes of research, teaching, and/or private study. Commercial use or systematic downloading (by robots or other automatic processes) is prohibited without explicit Publisher approval, unless otherwise noted. For more information, contact permissions@informs.org.

The Publisher does not warrant or guarantee the article's accuracy, completeness, merchantability, fitness for a particular purpose, or non-infringement. Descriptions of, or references to, products or publications, or inclusion of an advertisement in this article, neither constitutes nor implies a guarantee, endorsement, or support of claims made of that product, publication, or service.

Copyright © 2016, INFORMS

Please scroll down for article—it is on subsequent pages



With 12,500 members from nearly 90 countries, INFORMS is the largest international association of operations research (O.R.) and analytics professionals and students. INFORMS provides unique networking and learning opportunities for individual professionals, and organizations of all types and sizes, to better understand and use O.R. and analytics tools and methods to transform strategic visions and achieve better outcomes. For more information on INFORMS, its publications, membership, or meetings visit <http://www.informs.org>

Erratum—Exact Algorithms for the Clustered Vehicle Routing Problem

Maaïke Hoogeboom

Department of Information, Logistics and Innovation, Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, 1081 HV Amsterdam, The Netherlands, m.hoogeboom@vu.nl

Maria Battarra, Güneş Erdoğan

School of Management, University of Bath, Bath BA2 7AY, United Kingdom
{m.battarra@bath.ac.uk, g.erdogan@bath.ac.uk}

Daniele Vigo

Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering “Guglielmo Marconi”,
Alma Mater Università di Bologna, 40136 Bologna, Italy,
daniele.vigo@unibo.it

The clustered vehicle routing problem (CluVRP) is a generalization of the capacitated vehicle routing problem (CVRP) where customers are partitioned into disjoint clusters. As in the CVRP, all the customers must be visited exactly once, but a vehicle visiting one customer in a cluster must visit all the remaining customers therein before leaving it. Hence, when the first and last customer to be visited in a cluster C are p and q , finding the optimal sequence to visit the remaining customers in the cluster is equal to finding the minimum cost Hamiltonian path with p and q as endpoints.

Battarra et al. (2014) presented a new compact integer programming formulation for the CluVRP. This formulation exploits the special substructure of the clusters such that only inter-cluster connections have to be determined. The authors show that this formulation provides a stronger linear relaxation than the CluVRP formulation based on the traditional CVRP two-index formulation.

In the sequence of propositions that show the equivalence of the new formulation with the traditional CluVRP formulation, an erroneous notation was introduced in Battarra et al. (2014). In particular, this appears in the proof of Lemma 2, which is rewritten here in correct form. We emphasize that the incorrect notation in the proof does not affect the overall validity of Lemma 2, hence has no effect on the correctness of the paper and on the results reported therein.

Let $\delta(S)$ denote the set of edges connecting the vertices in a vertex set $S \subseteq V$ to those outside the set, and the set of edges inside a vertex set S by $E(S)$. The decision variables x_{ij}^* and x_{ij}^{**} are equal to the number of times a vehicle traverses edge (i, j) for a solution of the traditional and the new formulation, respectively. The values of x_{ij}^* are determined through the following transformation from x_{ij}^{**} . For each inter-cluster edge $(i, j) \in \bar{E}$, set $x_{ij}^* = x_{ij}^{**}$. Initialize $x_{ij}^* = 0$ for all intra-cluster edges $(i, j) \in \hat{E}$. For all $(p, q) \in \hat{E}$ and for all $(i, j) \in P(p, q)$, increment x_{ij}^* by x_{pq}^{**} . For the details of the CluVRP formulations, we refer the reader to Battarra et al. (2014).

LEMMA 2. Given a set of customer vertices $S \subset V \setminus \{0\}$, with $\bar{C}$ being the minimal set of clusters covering S , i.e., $\forall i \in S, \exists C \in \bar{C}: i \in C$, the inequality $\sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(S)} x_{ij}^* \geq \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(\bar{C})} x_{ij}^*$ holds.

Lemma 2 therefore states that for any feasible solution of the traditional CluVRP formulation the sum of variables in $\delta(S)$ is greater than or equal to the sum of variables in $\delta(\bar{C})$, where S is any set of customer vertices and $\bar{C}$ is the minimal set of clusters covering S .

PROOF. By induction on $|\bar{C}|$.

Base case: For $|\bar{C}| = 1$, let $\bar{C} = \{C\}$. For $|C| \leq 2$, the inequality holds as an equality. For $|C| \geq 3$, we have the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(S)} x_{ij}^* &= \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C)} x_{ij}^* - \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C) \setminus \delta(S)} x_{ij}^* \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i,j) \in E(C) \cap \delta(S)} x_{ij}^* \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C)} x_{ij}^* - \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C) \setminus \delta(S)} x_{ij}^{**} \\ &\quad + \sum_{(i,j) \in E(C) \cap \delta(S)} x_{ij}^* \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C)} x_{ij}^* - \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C) \setminus \delta(S)} x_{ij}^{**} \\ &\quad + \sum_{(p,q) \in E(C) \cap \delta(S)} x_{pq}^{**} + \sum_{(p,q) \in E(C) \setminus \delta(S)} 2x_{pq}^{**} \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\geq \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C)} x_{ij}^* - \sum_{(p,q) \in E(C) \setminus (\delta(S) \cup E(S))} 2x_{pq}^{**} \\ &\quad + \sum_{(p,q) \in E(C) \setminus \delta(S)} 2x_{pq}^{**} \end{aligned} \quad (26)$$

$$\geq \sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C)} x_{ij}^*. \quad (27)$$

Equality (23) states the relationship between $\sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(S)} x_{ij}^*$ and $\sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C)} x_{ij}^*$, where the former is calculated by removing the inter-cluster edges in $\delta(C) \setminus \delta(S)$ from $\delta(C)$ and by adding the intracluster edges belonging to $E(C) \cap \delta(S)$. Equality (24) follows from the transformation from x^* to x^{**} . Inequality (25) follows from the fact that a Hamiltonian path in C with endpoints p and q will cross between S and $C \setminus S$ at least once if one endpoint lies in S and the other endpoint in $C \setminus S$ (i.e., $(p, q) \in E(C) \cap \delta(S)$), and at least twice if both p and q are in S or in $C \setminus S$ (i.e., $(p, q) \in E(C) \setminus \delta(S)$). Inequality (26) is due to the fact that

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \delta(C) \setminus \delta(S)} x_{ij}^{**} = \sum_{(p,q) \in E(C) \cap \delta(S)} x_{pq}^{**} + \sum_{(p,q) \in E(C) \setminus (\delta(S) \cup E(S))} 2x_{pq}^{**}$$

an implication of the edge degree constraint (9) in the new formulation. Finally, (27) follows from the fact that $E(C) \setminus (\delta(S) \cup E(S)) \subseteq E(C) \setminus \delta(S)$.

The proof is completed by the inductive step which can be found in Battarra et al. (2014). $\square$

Reference

Battarra M, Erdoğan G, Vigo D (2014) Exact algorithms for the clustered vehicle routing problem. *Oper. Res.* 62(1):58–71.

Maaïke Hoogeboom is a PhD student in logistics and operational research at the Department of Information, Logistics and Innovation at the Vrije Universiteit Amsterdam, The Netherlands. Her research is inspired by real-life vehicle routing and scheduling problems.

Maria Battarra is a senior lecturer at the School of Management, University of Bath, United Kingdom. Her research interests include exact and metaheuristic algorithms for combinatorial optimization, vehicle and maritime routing problems, and disaster relief problems.

Güneş Erdoğan is a reader at the School of Management, University of Bath, United Kingdom. His research interests include exact and heuristic optimization methods, ambulance location problems, and vehicle routing problems.

Daniele Vigo is a professor at the Department of Electrical, Electronic and Information Engineering, “Guglielmo Marconi”, Bologna, Italy. His research interests include logistics and distribution systems design, vehicle routing and scheduling, crew management (scheduling and rostering), and industrial cutting and packing.