

Robust Hazmat Network Design Problems Considering Risk Uncertainty

Longsheng Sun, Mark H. Karwan, and Changyun Kwon*

Department of Industrial and Systems Engineering
University at Buffalo (SUNY), Buffalo, New York, USA

July 8, 2015

Appendices

A Proof of Projection Method

The procedure introduced in Section 5.2.3 obtains $\bar{\mu}$ as the projection of μ onto $\{\mu : 0 \leq \mu_{ij} \leq 1 \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{A}_k, \Gamma - \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mu_{ij} \geq 0\}$.

Proof. The projection of μ onto $\{\mu : 0 \leq \mu_{ij} \leq 1 \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{A}_k, \Gamma - \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \mu_{ij} \geq 0\}$ can be formulated as:

$$\min \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \frac{1}{2} (\mu_{ij} - \bar{\mu}_{ij})^2 \quad (1)$$

subject to

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \bar{\mu}_{ij} &\leq \Gamma && : \lambda \\ \bar{\mu}_{ij} &\leq 1 \quad \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{A}_k && : v_{ij} \\ \bar{\mu}_{ij} &\geq 0 \quad \forall (i, j) \in \mathcal{A}_k && : \rho_{ij} \end{aligned}$$

*Corresponding Author: chkwon@buffalo.edu, +1-716-645-4705

The Karush-Kuhn-Tucker (KKT) conditions for problem (1) are

$$\bar{\mu}_{ij} - \mu_{ij} + \lambda + v_{ij} - \rho_{ij} = 0 \quad (2)$$

$$\lambda \left(\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \bar{\mu}_{ij} - \Gamma \right) = 0 \quad (3)$$

$$v_{ij}(\bar{\mu}_{ij} - 1) = 0 \quad (4)$$

$$\rho_{ij}\bar{\mu}_{ij} = 0 \quad (5)$$

$$\lambda, v_{ij}, \rho_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \bar{\mu}_{ij} \leq \Gamma \quad (7)$$

$$\bar{\mu}_{ij} \leq 1 \quad (8)$$

$$\bar{\mu}_{ij} \geq 0 \quad (9)$$

Problem (1) is strictly convex over a nonempty bounded region, so there must exist multipliers λ , v_{ij} and ρ_{ij} that satisfy the KKT conditions. We show that we can find a solution to the above KKT conditions by following the projection procedure in Section 5.2.3.

1. For any λ , we set

$$\bar{\mu}_{ij} = \max\{0, \min\{1, \mu_{ij} - \lambda\}\} \quad (10)$$

$$v_{ij} = \max\{0, \mu_{ij} - \lambda - 1\}$$

$$\rho_{ij} = \max\{0, -(\mu_{ij} - \lambda)\}$$

for each $(i, j) \in \mathcal{A}_k$. We consider the following three cases:

- When $\mu_{ij} - \lambda \geq 1$, we have $\bar{\mu}_{ij} = 1$, $\rho_{ij} = 0$, and $v_{ij} = \mu_{ij} - \lambda - 1$.
- When $0 \leq \mu_{ij} - \lambda < 1$, we have $\bar{\mu}_{ij} = \mu_{ij} - \lambda$, $\rho_{ij} = 0$, and $v_{ij} = 0$.
- When $\mu_{ij} - \lambda < 0$, we have $\bar{\mu}_{ij} = 0$, $\rho_{ij} = -(\mu_{ij} - \lambda)$, and $v_{ij} = 0$.

The above $\bar{\mu}_{ij}$, ρ_{ij} , and v_{ij} satisfy conditions (2), (4), (5), (6), (8), and (9) for any given λ . Remaining conditions are (3), (7), and the nonnegativity of λ .

2. When $\lambda = 0$, if the resulting $\bar{\mu}_{ij} = \max\{0, \min\{1, \mu_{ij}\}\}$ satisfies (7), then we are done as described in Step 0 of the projection procedure. If not, we can show that we can obtain $\lambda > 0$ such that (3) and (7) hold, by following Step 1 of the projection procedure. That is, we can find $\lambda > 0$ such

that

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \bar{\mu}_{ij} = \sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \max\{0, \min\{1, \mu_{ij} - \lambda\}\} = \Gamma. \quad (11)$$

Equation (11) can be rewritten as

$$\sum_{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k} \bar{\mu}_{ij} = \sum_{\{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k : \mu_{ij} - 1 \leq \lambda < \mu_{ij}\}} (\mu_{ij} - \lambda) + \sum_{\{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k : \lambda < \mu_{ij} - 1\}} (1) + \sum_{\{(i,j) \in \mathcal{A}_k : \lambda \geq \mu_{ij}\}} (0) = \Gamma. \quad (12)$$

In equation (12), μ_{ij} and $\mu_{ij} - 1$ are critical values. Thus we can find the value of λ by searching the interval of these values as used in Step 1.

This completes the proof. □